

To: Morin, Jeff[Morin.Jeff@epa.gov]
From: Gray, David
Sent: Fri 8/14/2015 8:57:14 PM
Subject: Re: posted

I don't see it under data either

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 14, 2015, at 2:56 PM, Morin, Jeff <Morin.Jeff@epa.gov> wrote:

You mean the GKM home page?

Not explicitly. We don't add "new" icons.

Jeff Morin
Office of Web Communications
US Environmental Protection Agency
w 202-564-6553

From: Gray, David
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 4:54 PM
To: Morin, Jeff
Cc: Harrison, Melissa; Purchia, Liz; Hart, Daniel; EOC Public Information
Subject: Re: posted

So it doesn't show on the main /Goldingmine page?

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 14, 2015, at 2:52 PM, Morin, Jeff <Morin.Jeff@epa.gov> wrote:

Sure is - links are below to where I posted the "yesterday" data, and the new sediment data.

Jeff Morin
Office of Web Communications
US Environmental Protection Agency
w 202-564-6553

From: Morin, Jeff
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 4:11 PM
To: Harrison, Melissa; Purchia, Liz
Cc: Smith, Paula; EOC Public Information; Hart, Daniel; Davis, Tim
Subject: posted

Sediment data posted on page

<http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine/gold-king-mine-data-august-14-2015>

Second spreadsheet for yesterday added to page

<http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine/gold-king-mine-data-august-13-2015>

Jeff Morin
Office of Web Communications
US Environmental Protection Agency
w 202-564-6553

From: Harrison, Melissa
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 3:30 PM
To: Purchia, Liz; Morin, Jeff
Cc: Smith, Paula
Subject: Re: R8 sediment data and message for website

No need. It's already approved language and data.

From: Purchia, Liz
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 3:26 PM
To: Harrison, Melissa; Morin, Jeff
Cc: Smith, Paula
Subject: Re: R8 sediment data and message for website

I will send to EOC

From: Harrison, Melissa
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 3:25 PM
To: Morin, Jeff; Purchia, Liz
Cc: Smith, Paula
Subject: R8 sediment data and message for website

This is ready to go. Thanks!

The EPA sediment samples collected in the Animas River from Bakers Bridge to north of Durango have been validated. EPA has done a review of the data which included a comparison to background to determine if the metal concentrations are consistent with pre-incident levels. Metal results that exceeded pre-incident levels were subsequently

compared to risk-based screening levels. These results indicate that minor exceedances of background concentrations were observed for antimony, lead, silver, thallium. However, comparison to risk-based screening values found these exceedances to be below risk screening levels. The review and interpretation of these data was a collaborative effort that included state and local members of the unified command.